

JOHN COPE-FLANAGAN

NSTAR Services Company Assistant General Counsel Direct Dial: (617) 424-3802 Telecopier: (617) 424-2733

John_Cope-Flanagan@nstaronline.com

March 9, 2001

Mary L. Cottrell, Secretary
Department of Telecommunications & Energy
One South Station, 2nd Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

John Cope Flanasan

Re: Cambridge Electric Light Company/ Commonwealth Electric Company D.T.E. 01-22

Dear Madam Secretary:

Cambridge Electric Light Company and Commonwealth Electric Company (the "Companies") are pleased to supply their responses to the information requests listed on the attached sheet.

Sincerely,

John Cope-Flanagan

Attachments

cc: William Stevens, Hearing Officer
Robert Harrold, Electric Power Division
Glenn Shippee, Rates and Revenue Requirements Division
Jeff Hall, Rates and Revenue Requirements Division
Joseph Rogers, Assistant Attorney General
Robert N. Werlin, Esq.

Responses to Information Requests

DTE-1-1

DTE-1-2

DTE-1-3

DTE-1-4

Information Request: DTE-1-1

March 9, 2001

Person Responsible: Bryant Robinson

Page 1 of 2

Information Request DTE-1-1

Refer to the Initial Filing at I and the Amended Agreement (Att. 1). Please provide the exact language change to the definition of decommissioning expense that was included in the Amended Agreement.

Response

The Amended Agreement (i.e., the Second Restated Sixth Amendment) filed with the Department by Cambridge Electric Light Company ("Cambridge") and Commonwealth Electric Company ("Commonwealth") on December 15, 2000 revises the previously filed Restated Sixth Amendment in the following two respects: (1) it restates the buy-down payment amount to \$141,600,000 and (2) reinstates the definition of decommissioning expense that was contained in the Seabrook Power Contract prior to the Sixth Amendment. The definition in the Seabrook Power Contract includes a specific schedule of decommissioning expenses for each year for the life of the unit. Both the Sixth Amendment and the Restated Sixth Amendment revised that definition of decommissioning expense by deleting the specific schedule and inserting the following language in its place:

"The Seabrook Unit decommissioning expenses are related to the dismantlement, entombment, removal, clean-up or decommissioning of the Seabrook Unit. Monthly decommissioning expenses shall consist on one-twelfth of the annual amounts established for Canal (3.52317% of the total amount for the Seabrook Unit) by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), the New Hampshire Nuclear Decommissioning Committee, or other regulatory agency with authority to establish such decommissioning expenses. Decommissioning expenses will be included and recorded in FERC Account Number 403."

Subsequent to the filing of the Sixth Amendment and the Restated Sixth Amendment, Canal Electric Company ("Canal") (the other party to the Seabrook Power Contract) determined that the flexible definition of decommissioning expense contained in these amendments would not comply with the requirements of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), which has jurisdiction over the Seabrook Power Contract as a wholesale power sales contract. In particular, FERC requires that any change in decommissioning expense must be reflected in a specific schedule of expenses. Therefore, the parties have taken steps to reinstate the original definition and schedule of decommissioning expenses in the Seabrook Power Contract.

As a further step, on January 31, 2001, Canal filed with FERC a Seventh Amendment to the Seabrook Power Contract in order, among other things, to change the level of

Information Request: DTE-1-1

March 9, 2001

Person Responsible: Bryant Robinson

Page 2 of 2

decommissioning expenses under the Seabrook Power Contract to reflect changes ordered by the New Hampshire Nuclear Decommissioning Financing Committee, which is the state regulatory agency authorized to set the level of decommissioning expenses for the Seabrook unit (docket ER01-1134-000). An informational copy of Canal's FERC filing was served on the Department. Once FERC has ruled on Canal's filing, Cambridge and Commonwealth will file the Seventh Amendment with the Department for approval.

ion Dogwest: DTF 1.2

Information Request: **DTE-1-2**

March 9, 2001

Person Responsible: Bryant Robinson

Page 1 of 1

Information Request DTE-1-2

Refer to the Initial Filling at 1. Please explain the changes in decommissioning expenses anticipated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and their likely effects on this or future amendments.

Response

As stated in response to Information Request DTE-1-1, on January 31, 2001, Canal Electric Company ("Canal") filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") a Seventh Amendment to the Seabrook Power Contract in order, among other things, to change the level of decommissioning expenses under the Seabrook Power Contract to reflect changes ordered by the New Hampshire Nuclear Decommissioning Financing Committee, which is the state regulatory agency authorized to set the level of decommissioning expenses for the Seabrook unit (docket ER01-1134-000). An informational copy of Canal's FERC filing was served on the Department. The changes in decommissioning expenses contained in the Seventh Amendment will not affect the Second Restated Sixth Amendment as filed by Cambridge and Commonwealth with the Department in this docket. Once FERC has ruled on Canal's filing, Cambridge and Commonwealth will file the Seventh Amendment with the Department for approval.

Information Request: **DTE-1-3**

March 9, 2001

Person Responsible: Bryant Robinson

Page 1 of 1

Information Request DTE-1-3

Refer to the Initial Filing at 3. Please provide a schedule of the monthly disbursements from the EIS fund during calendar year 2000.

Response

Please see Attachment DTE-1-3

Attachment Information Request DTE-1-3

	Energy Investment S Cash Flow S		٥,		
	For the Ye				
Line #	<u>Description</u>		-	<u>Amounts</u>	
1	Fund Balance @ 12/31/1999				\$144,315,703
2					
3	Year 2000 Receipts: Investment Earnings				
4	December 1999 Adjustment	\$ 57,304			
5	January 2000	824,334	ļ		
6	February 2000	164,600	ļ	 	
7	March 2000	1,193,512			
8	April 2000	373,624	-		
9	May 2000	1,064,964			
10	June 2000	799,589		-	
11	August 2000	1,991,022		-	
12	September 2000	(224,123)	-		
13	October 2000	900,694			
14	November 2000	752,605	ļ		
15	December 2000	281,152	_		
16	Subtotal		\$_	8,179,277	
17	T-4-1 D				40.450.055
18	Total Receipts			ļ	\$8,179,277
19	V0000 Disk				
20	Year 2000 Disbursements: Residual Value				
21	January 2000	\$ 3,007,967			
22	March 2000	1,958,880			
23	May 2000	1,974,306		-	
24	June 2000	681,366			
25	August 2000	3,505,739		-	
26	November 2000	2,310,876	•	40 400 404	
27	Subtotal		\$	13,439,134	
28	Voor 2000 Dishursemente: Buildown of Do	von Contracto	ļ		
29	Year 2000 Disbursements: Buydown of Pov Canal Electric - Seabrook	\$ 120,463,000		 	
30	Subtotal	\$ 120,463,000	¢ 1	20 462 000	
	Subiotal	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	20,463,000	
32	Total Disbursements				\$ 133,902,134
34	I Vali Disbuisements				ψ 133,302,134
	Fund Balance @ 12/31/2000			 	\$18,592,846
35	(Line 1 + Line 18 - Line 33)				\$10,592,0 4 0
	(Line 1 + Line 16 - Line 33)				
*	Balance is subject to income tax reserve an	d final reconciliati	on.		
TES:				-	
Line 1	Sum of Balance on Report on EIS Compliance Letter da	ated 2/4/2000 + 10/199	99 inte	erest adjustmen	t.
Line 4	Reversal of adjustment included in balance from Report	on EIS Compliance L	etter	dated 2/4/2000,	line 23.
es 5 to 15	Monthly Interest Earned in the month prior to receipt.				
11 65	D			-11"	
Line 30	Payment made on 11/8/2000. The \$21,137,000 different	nce between this disbu	ursem	ent and the actu	ıai

D.T.E. 01-22

Information Request: DTE-1-4

March 9, 2001

Person Responsible: Bryant Robinson

Page 1 of 1

Information Request DTE-1-4

Refer to the Amended Agreement (Att. 1, at 2). Please provide complete and detailed documentation to account for the \$55,543,000 reduction for Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes.

Response

The \$55,543,000 reduction for Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes is calculated by multiplying the buy-down amount of \$141,600,000 by an estimated tax rate of 39.225 percent. This calculation is consistent with the calculation of the reduction for Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes contained in the Companies' filing in docket D.T.E. 99-89.